Further Potential Complaint over 'Road Waste'.

From: William Louise FRIEND (friend.northdown@btinternet.com)

To: nicki.burford@thanet.gov.uk

Cc: james.squier@bidwells.co.uk; jcf@furleypage.co.uk; emma.fibbens@thanet.gov.uk; cathy.mcnab@lee-

evans.co.uk

Date: Monday, 8 April 2019, 11:38 BST

Dear Mrs. Burford,

Further to the complaints about building F/Th/15/221, you should be advised that negotiations over the implementation of the 2013 settlement remain ongoing. It is highly likely therefore, that further allegations will be made to you against me.

Under the terms of the 2013 agreement reached, and the conclusion of the 2005-09 planning review there should be no repetition of old, previously determined issues. It is unreasonable to make complaints about approved decisions, or long established uses after they have received due consideration and have been found to accord with policy. Each side undertook to observe and respect the findings of the review and not to challenge them further, TDC has produced policies not to pursue such allegations or allegations where no public interest is served in doing so. I have every confidence that you will consider any further complaints received in this light, as you have done since the conclusion of the review in 2008/9.

One old issue , is the question of hardstandings and the storage of materials South of George Hill Road. The matter of using rubble and road planings in the construction of tracks , etc has been looked at repeatedly over the last 20-30 years . Such hard-standings have received permission or it has been determined that they are permitted development not requiring consent . Likewise I have the appropriate DEFRA waste exemption certificates for the beneficial use of waste. Road planings have a particular derogation , through the NFU, saying they are not 'waste' but a legitimate building material . You will be aware that it is national policy to encourage the re-use and recycling of all waste , and particular 'second hand' road materials in any event. New materials are now taxed , to give an incentive to 'recycle' .

Allegations that the farm is not an agricultural holding , or that horticulture or forestry do not form a legitimate branch of agriculture , and therefore that the holding does not benefit from permitted development rights have likewise been made repeatedly in the past and repeatedly dismissed as groundless.

I attach a copy of the planning application for Block F at the East Northdown Business Centre. F/TH/16/717. The application clearly states that the existing roads and parking areas are , and new roads and parking areas will be constructed from compressed road planings , so this is a specified construction material and its use, storage and handling a legitimate activity ancillary to the implementation of the various permissions now being implemented.

Please dont hesitate if you wish to discuss this matter further.

regards William

William and Louise Friend

East Northdown Farm, Margate, Kent, CT9 3TS (Tel. no: 01843 862060) M. 07714241668 and No.3 Church Farm, Mucklestone, Staffordshire, TF9 4FA (Tel. no: 01630 674284) website



F_TH_16_0717-APPLICATION_FORM_-_WITHOUT_PERSONAL_DATA-392370.pdf 245.3kB